

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,920	06/25/2001	Thomas J. Holman	1001.1181102	9756
28075	7590 08/27/2004		EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			HAYES, MICHAEL J	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			3763	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4w
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/888,920	HOLMAN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J Hayes	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	iress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The apporting in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	iS.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8 The drawing correction filed on is a land	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner	

Michael J Hayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3763

10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejections of record made in view of the prior art.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant states on page 1 of papers received 7/20/04 that amendments were made to the claims. The examiner could not find any amendments made to the claims. Applicant has not submitted a marked up version of the claims to show any amendments. The remarks received 7/20/04 have been considered as a request for reconsideration with no amendments to the claims.